10

15

20

25

Appl. No. 10/708,242 Arndt. dated January 23, 2007 Reply to Office action of January 05, 2007

REMARKS

"... dependent claims must be in a sequential order from their respective parent claims according to Rule 1.47. Normally, the assigned examiner does that at the time of allowance. However, there are so many new and old dependent claims in various combinations (claim number goes as high as 168), it is almost impossible and requires a

Advisory Action mailed 01/05/2007 - Claims allowed: all pending

considerable amount of time for the examiner to renumber all the claims in a sequential order as required by Rule 1.47. Applicant is requested to renumber the claims in a

sequential order as required by R1.47 so that the examiner does not have to renumber

the claims at the time of the allowance."

Firstly, applicant assumes the Examiner made a typo in quoting Rule 1.47 because 37 CFR 1.47 is entitled, "Filing when an inventor refuses to sign or cannot be reached." Applicant assumes the Examiner meant to quote 37 CFR 1.75 entitled, "Claim(s)." 37 CFR 1.75 is further described in MPEP section 608.01(n) entitled, "Dependent Claims", which the applicant has carefully followed when making the below described amendments to the claims.

Secondly, the Examiner requested that the applicant renumber the claims in a sequential order "so that the examiner does not have to renumber the claims at the time of the allowance". However, in order to properly follow the revised claim amendment practices described in 37 CFR 1.121, applicant is unable to renumber the claims starting at claim 1 as will be required at the time of the allowance. For example, there were already several claim numbers that were canceled and 37 CFR 1.121 states, "A claim which was previously canceled may be reinstated only by adding the claim as a 'new' claim with a new claim number." The new claims listed above are ordered in sequential order so that all dependent claims depend upon an earlier claim. Applicant assumes that the Examiner will still be able to shift the claims numbers at the time of allowance so that the first claim begins at claim number 1. Although there are many claims, this operation should not require excessive time

5

10

15

Appl. No. 10/708,242 Amdt. dated January 23, 2007

Reply to Office action of January 05, 2007

of the Examiner because it simply involves subtracting 168 from each of the claim numbers in the above claim listing.

With the above assumptions in mind, the applicant has canceled all previously pending claims from 1 to 168 and has added corresponding new claims 169-297 being ordered in a sequential order as requested by the Examiner. There are now no claims that depend upon a later claim, and the above claim listing is thereby in compliance with 37 CFR 1.75. In particular, 37 CFR 1.75(c) states, "One or more claims may be presented in dependent form, referring back to and further limiting another claim or claims in the same application." No changes to the claims other than putting them in a sequential order and changing the claim numbers to match is made. No new matter is added.

For the record, the following table shows the exact one to one correspondence of each of the above new claims to its old claim number in the previous amendments to the claims filed by applicant on 12/04/2006. Only the presented order of the claims and the corresponding claim numbers is changed. No new claim limitations are added, and the claimed subject matter of the invention is not changed in any way whatsoever. The claims are now simply ordered in a sequential order as requested by the Examiner where each dependent claim only refers to earlier claim(s) as required by 37 CFR 1.75(c).

Old Claim # New Claim

1 .	169
2	170
5	171
6	172
11	173
140	174
12	175
141	176
13	177

1.4	178
15	179
16	180
17	181
1.8	182
19	183
142	184
20	185
143	186
21	187
22	188
23	189
24	190
25	191
26	192
27	193
28	194
29	195
30	196
31	197
32	198
33	199
34	200
35	201
36	202
144	203
37	204

38	205
39	206
40	207
41	208
42	209
43	210
44	211
45	212
145	213
46	214
146	215
47	216
147	217
48	218
49	219
50	220
51	221
52	222
53	223
54	224
55	225
56	226
57	227
58	228
59	229
60	230
61	231

62	232
63	233
64	234
65	235
66	236
67	237
68	238
69	239
70	240
71	241
72	242
73	243
74	244
148	245
75	246
149	247
76	248
150	249
77	250
151	251
79	252
80	253
82	254
152	255
83	256
153	257
84	258

259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285

103	286
163	287
104	288
164	289
105	290
165	291
106	292
166	293
107	294
167	295
108	296
168	297

As all claims have been previously found allowable by the Examiner, and as the above claim listing now complies with 37 CFR 1.75, applicant again respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

Sincerely yours,

Wuntentan

01/23/2007

Winston Hsu, Patent Agent No. 41,526

5 P.O. BOX 506, Merrifield, VA 22116, U.S.A.

Voice Mail: 302-729-1562 Facsimile: 806-498-6673

e-mail: winstonhsu@naipo.com

Note: Please leave a message in my voice mail if you need to talk to me. (The time in D.C. is 13 hours behind the Taiwan time, i.e. 9 AM in D.C. = 10 PM in Taiwan.)